

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Final Official Action dated 11 July 2006. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official Action, Claims 16, 17 and 19 - 22 remain in this case and Claims 1 - 15 and 18 are cancelled.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claim 1, 3, 4, 8 and 10 - 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Bril, et al., U.S. Patent 6,118,413, in view of Chang, U.S. Patent 5,563,665. Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Bril, et al. in view of Chang, Kantor et al., U.S. Patent 6,025,871, and Wheeler et al., U.S. Patent 6,624,797, and Claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Bril, et al. in view of Chang, and Shin, U.S. Patent 6,804,724. However, the Examiner kindly indicated that Claims 16, 17, 19, and 20 - 22 were allowed.

In order to advance the prosecution of this case, all of the rejected claims have been cancelled, leaving only those claims that were indicated as being allowed by the Examiner. Accordingly, it is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

/David I. Klein/

David I. Klein
Registration #33,253

Dated: 25 September 2006

Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410) 465-6678
Customer No. 04586

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being electronically transmitted to the U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office, Art Unit # 2173, on the date shown below.
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

/David I. Klein/
DAVID I. KLEIN

09/25/2006
Date